

Know Every Gap Before GCF Does

The only funding proposal evaluation grounded in the full corpus of GCF-approved projects - not criteria documents, not generic AI. *Evidence, not advice.*

72h
TURN
AROUND

3K+
PRECEDENT
FILES
REVIEWED

4
LAYER
REVIEW
FRAMEWORK

The Reality: Every submission gap adds costly delays and difficult post-approval conditions. While a single unresolved condition can stall a project for over a year, **precedent benchmarking remains an overlooked strategy** to eliminate these risks before you submit.

HOW THE EVALUATION WORKS:

1 Responsiveness Check
Every section checked against GCF SAP/PAP indicative response points. We identify exactly what is missing.
Layer 1 — Sections A through G

2 Investment Criteria Scoring
All six GCF investment criteria scored Strong/Adequate/Weak with ITAP-calibrated commentary and specific improvement recommendations.
Layer 2 — Six GCF Criteria

3 Precedent Benchmarking
Weak sections benchmarked against comparable approved projects - showing what GCF has accepted in your results area and geography.
Layer 3 — CFI Corpus Intelligence

4 Annex Review
M&E Plan, Gender Action Plan, ESS Summary evaluated against GCF standards. All 23 annexes were inventoried for completeness.
Layer 4 — Annex Intelligence

YOUR EVALUATION OUTCOME:

✓ Ready for Submission
No material gaps. Quality matches approved precedents.

🔧 Moderate Revision
1-3 material gaps. Actionable fix actions provided along with precedents.

⚠️ Revise First
Significant gaps. Risk of conditions that may be difficult to satisfy.

WHAT YOU RECEIVE:

● Executive Overview:
Quality narrative + compliance score

● Section Ratings:
Responsive / Partial / Not Responsive

● Criteria Scores:
Strong / Adequate / Weak, all 6 criteria

● Precedent Examples:
What comparable projects demonstrated

● Priority Recommendations:
Top 5 corrective actions ranked

● Annex Inventory:
Completeness + substantive annex review



Enterprise-Grade Security:
Zero AI training on your data. Full NDAs provided.

SECTOR COVERAGE:

- Energy generation and access
- Transport
- Buildings, industries, and appliances
- Forests and land use
- Health, food, and water security
- Livelihoods of people and communities
- Ecosystems and ecosystem services
- Infrastructure and built environment

TESTIMONIALS:



“The Concept Note report was invaluable as a resource that bridged gaps, ensuring our narratives were precisely aligned with the latest investment criteria.” - *Project Proponent*



“This is a fantastic report for building confidence in our submissions. It provides a clear roadmap of areas needing more attention - it helped us significantly improve our core logic.” - *Southern Africa Accredited Entity*



“Useful to project developers and private players - and other GCF climate finance applicants with limited application experience.” - *Development Bank of Zimbabwe*

EVALUATION SERVICES - BUILT ON WHAT GCF HAS ALREADY SAID YES TO:

SINGLE LAYER:

\$450 USD

Choose from any of the assessment layers.

1. Responsiveness
2. Investment criteria or,
3. Precedent-benchmarking

FULL FP EVALUATION:

\$2,450 USD

Combines all three layers into a single 20–25 page report with: full evidence chains, expanded annex evaluations, ITAP calibration, five priority recommendations, and a compliance score.

REVISION RESPONSE BRIEF:

\$1,450 USD

Already received Secretariat or ITAP feedback? We map every condition to approved precedents and build your response strategy.